




Option B - Rural wards

Key
 Two-member ward

Key
 Within 10% of the ideal electorate of 2056
 Variance against ideal electorate of more than 10%

Ward No.	Proposed name of ward	No. of members	Total electorate	% Away from Average (Variance)	Parishes (if rural)	Changes made as a result of consultation	Details of feedback received and other comments	Other options that could be considered
4	Cavendish	1	2241	9.05%	Cavendish, Brockley, Denston, Hawkedon, Hawstead, Rede, Stansfield & Whepstead	None	The Cavendish ward includes the Hawstead Parish. This is the only difference from option A.	
6	Rougham ward	2	4276	3.99%	Bradfield Combust with Stanningfield, Bradfield St Clare, Bradfield St George, Gt & Lt Whelnetham, Horringer, Ickworth, Nowton, Rushbrooke with Rougham (North) and Rushbrooke with Rougham (South)	None	The growth (577 electors) at Rushbrooke with Rougham Parish (North ward) has been included in this Rougham ward and as part of this option it would need to be a two-member ward with Horringer in order to be within the required range of electors. Hawstead parish has been moved into Cavendish ward to ensure electoral equality.	Rushbrooke with Rougham Parish Council proposed an alternative single member ward which would include all of the Parish of Rushbrooke with Rougham, together with the Parishes of Bradfield St Clare and Bradfield St George. This would have a significant consequential impact on the rural wards and would need to be explored further by the LGBCE.
7							A resident commented on this option in the March 2018 consultation to suggest that it better reflects current excellent community co-operation and communication and that it included communities that share issues along the A134 south corridor.	